1		RT OF COMMON PLEAS COUNTY, OHIO
2		COUNTY, OHIO
3	GARRICK KRLICH, Petitioner	) Case No. 2010-CV-1811 )
4	-vs-	, ) ) Magistrate Patrick F. McCarthy
5		)
6	JOSHUA D. WILSON, Respondent	) TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIOTAPE ) PROCEEDINGS
7		
8		
9	Hearing on a Petition for a St	talking Civil Protection Order
10	held on September 27, 2010	
11	BEFORE: MAGISTRATE PATR	TOV E MACADITUV
12	BEFORE: MAGISIKATE FAIK.	ICA II. MCCARIHI
13		urt of Common Pleas
14	161 High Street Warren, Ohio 44	
15	A DDEAD ANGEC	
16	APPEARANCES:	
17	On behalf of the Petitioner:	
18	Mr. Robert A. Henkin,	
19	Attorney at Law	
20	On hohalf of the Dogwoodent	
21	On behalf of the Respondent:	
22	Pro se	
23		

1	<u>I-N-D-E-X</u>
2	WITNESS PAGE
3	WIIIUSS FASE
4	JOSHUA D. WILSON
5	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HENKIN
6	
7	GARRICK KRLICH
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HENKIN
9	
10	JOSHUA D. WILSON
11	EXAMINATION BY THE COURT45
12	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HENKIN
13	DI PIR. HENRIN
14	CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER57
15	CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT58
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
ļ	

THE COURT: Good afternoon. We're here on Case No. 2010-CV-1811. This is Garrick Krlich versus Joshua D. Wilson. This is on a petition for a stalking civil protection order. We are here today for the full hearing on the request for a protection order. Mr. Krlich is present represented by his attorney, Robert Henkin. And Mr. Wilson is likewise present not represented by counsel at this time.

As I said, I like to go through the process just for a couple minutes and then we'll get into the, into the formal hearing. What we're here for is what's called a full hearing on a request for a civil stalking protection order. Any protection order is simply an order from the court that if it's granted would include a series of restrictions on the conduct of the person against whom it's issued, with all those restrictions being designed to prevent that person from having contact with the person or people covered by the protection order.

The way a protection order operates, if it is granted, is that it gets filed here with the Clerk of Courts, with the Trumbull County 9-1-1 agency and with any relevant police agencies. It remains on file with them. And in the event something would happen that a person believed was a violation of any of the restrictions in the protection

order, that person will call the police. They would investigate the matter, and if the police found there had been any violations of the restrictions in the protection order, that order gives them the authority to arrest the person who violated it and to charge that person with a misdemeanor crime called violating a civil stalking protection order.

1.7

What we're here about today is to decide whether that protection order should be issued in the first place. This is what's called, the request today is for what's called a full hearing civil stalking protection order. It can only be granted after a full hearing in which both parties have an opportunity to present evidence. If it is granted it is an order that can last for a period of up to five years.

Now in order to get the protection order that's being requested, Mr. Krlich, what you're going to be required to prove today is you need to show that Joshua Wilson has engaged in conduct that would meet Ohio's definition of what's called menacing by stalking. That's why we call it a stalking civil protection order. Now in Ohio the legal definition of menacing by stalking is that no person shall engage in a pattern of conduct to knowingly cause another person to believe they'd cause physical harm. Or, in a pattern of conduct to cause another person mental distress.

That's the legal definition. And again, it's pretty straight forward. There first needs to be proof of a pattern of conduct. That means more than one event. It can't involve a single, isolated incident. There have to be multiple events that have occurred.

If what's being alleged is a pattern of conduct where the person caused the other to believe that they would cause physical harm, that can include incidents where the person actually did cause physical harm or incidents where they either threatened or attempted to cause physical harm.

If what's being alleged is a pattern of conduct where the person caused mental distress, it does generally need to be shown that whatever is happening is something more than simply an aggravation or simply an annoyance, but it needs to have risen to a serious enough level that a person generally would want to seek some kind of counseling or other similar assistance to deal with it. So that's what you'll be required to prove today, Mr. Krlich.

To get the full hearing protection order you've asked for, to do that you do need to produce evidence. Evidence can include your own testimony. Testimony from other witnesses who have seen or heard things they would be describing. Documents. Photographs. Reports or recordings.

Physical items if they're available and if they're relevant to 1 the issues in the case. You'll have a chance to produce your 2 evidence. Mr. Wilson, you're going to have a chance to 3 produce your own evidence. After I hear all that evidence, 4 that's when I'm going to give you my decision. 5 If I find that Mr. Krlich showed that 6 7 Mr. Wilson engaged in menacing by stalking, I would recommend issuing a five-year protection order. If I find that 8 Mr. Krlich failed to prove that, I would recommend dismissing 9 the case. 10 I know I went through that quickly, but I 11 hope it's understandable. Did you have any questions about 12 any part of that process at this point? Okay. Very good. 13 Hearing none, I would invite the petitioner, since it is your 14 15 request, to go forward first. You may call your first witness, unless you wish to give some kind of brief opening. 16 MR. HENKIN: I would request that we call 17 the defendant in this case for cross examination. 1.8 THE COURT: Come forward, sir. He's 19 permitted to call you as a witness for purposes of cross 20 examination. 21

WHEREUPON,

JOSHUA D. WILSON,

23

1	being f	first duly sworn, according to law, was examined and
2	testifi	ied as follows:
3		CROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MR.	HENKIN:
5	Q	Please state your name and your current residential
6	address	s for the record.
7	A	Josh Wilson, 3309 Franklin Avenue, Hubbard, Ohio,
8	44425.	
9	Q	How old are you, sir?
10	A	21.
11	Q	Finish high school?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	What are you currently doing as an occupation?
14	A	Diesel technician. I'm also an automatic
15	transmi	ission technician, refrigeration technician.
16		THE COURT: Keep your voice up. It's hard
17	for me	to hear you.
18		THE WITNESS: Sorry.
19		THE COURT: That's okay.
20	Q	You are familiar with the home where Mr. Krlich and
21	his spo	ouse reside?
22	A	Yeah, I know where he lives.
23	Q	Do you go by that home often?

- A All depends on where I'm going.
- 2 Q Have you gone by that home on a motorcycle in the early hours of the morning?
  - A Yes.

1

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

- And in fact, have you sounded whatever type of a horn on that motorcycle or revved the motor on that motorcycle at the early hours of the morning?
  - A Well, it's going to rev.
  - Q I'll ask you the first part of the question. Have you sounded a horn, any other device of that sort, at that intersection of those two streets where the Krlich real estate is located?
  - A Horn on my motorcycle?
- 14 0 Yeah.
- 15 A No.
- So if Mr. Krlich testifies that you have, he would be either mistaken or just blatantly untruthful; would that be correct?
  - A Say that again.
  - Q If Mr. Krlich is able to produce evidence that shows in fact you have utilized your motorcycle horn, he would either be incorrect or untruthful?
- 23 A No. I've blown my horn going past his house.

In the early hours of the morning? 1 During the day. 2 Α No. During the day? Not ever in the evening or Oh. 3 early morning? 4 No. 5 Α Have you ever been behind the wheel of a motor 6 7 vehicle, same area, near his home, and utilized a horn at the early hours of the morning? 8 I don't recall. 9 Α So if Mr. Krlich would present evidence or 10 testimony --11 Yeah, sure. But it's a busy street. It's a main 12 road. 13 Are you out in the early hours of the morning after 14 15 1:00, 2:00 or 3:00 o'clock as a normal routine for yourself? No. 16 Α Would you be out on the weekends at those hours, 17 early hours of the morning? 18 Sometimes. Not every day. 19 Α And would it be accurate to say that, that those 20 early hours when you're infrequently out on the weekends, that 21 you might have sounded your horn in front of or on the side of 22

Mr. Krlich's home?

```
1 A No.
```

- 2 Q Why would Mr. Krlich seek you out in comparison to 3 anyone else in Hubbard? Why are you here? Why you?
- 4 A It's not just me.
  - Q I didn't ask about not just you. I --
- 6 A You said he was singling me out. He's not.
- 7 Q Why are you being singled out today? Why are you
- 8 here?

- A To come in front of the Judge.
- Do you think it's mistaken identity, that Mr. Krlich is wrong? No way could you have been involved in any incident near his home where utilizing a horn, a siren --
- 13 A Yeah, I beeped my horn going past his house.
- 14 Q Numerous times?
- 15 A Yeah. It's a main road. You're allowed to beep your
- 16 horn.
- So you would have had to, in order that he would in any way --
- In several cases I've seen friends driving past, wave.
- 21 Q I'm not asking you to explain why you're doing it.
- 22 The idea is --
- 23 A Yes, I'm doing it. It's not against the law.

Exactly. But Mr. Krlich would have had to identify 1 Q 2 you, the vehicle you were driving in order to put two and two together that say, here's Josh Wilson. This is a fellow that 3 I'm complaining about that's disturbing my peace and quiet. 4 5 It's a main drag. If you want peace and quiet you're going to have to move because that's a main road. 6 7 I see. Have you had conversations with Sergeant 8 Fisher from the Hubbard Police Department? 9 Yes. Α And what were those conversations in reference to 10 involving Mr. Krlich or his residence and his peace and quiet? 11 That's between me and Sergeant Fisher. If you wanted 12 Α 13 to know then you could have brought him here. Oh, I see. And now I'm going to ask you. Did you 14 admit to Mr. Fisher that in fact you've been disturbing 15 Mr. Krlich's peace and quiet? 16 17 I admitted that I've blown my horn going past his house. 18 19 Okay. And are you a member of a little group of quys Q that think that it's cute or it's fashionable to do this? 20 21 Α I'm not a member of any group. 22 Q Do you know others that behave in that same manner? No, sir. 23 Α

```
Okay. Did you recently have a conversation with the
 1
      mayor of Hubbard?
 2
               Yes.
 3
               Why were you on the phone with the mayor of Hubbard?
 4
               Speeding ticket.
 5
      Α
 6
               Okay. Did you have a conversation with the mayor of
 7
      Hubbard regarding matters involving peace and quiet in the
      Krlich property?
 8
               Yeah, we talked about it.
 9
               Uh-huh. And what was it you said to the mayor?
10
               I don't remember.
11
               Do you remember admitting to the mayor that in fact
12
      Q
      you were disturbing Mr. Krlich?
13
               I admitted to the mayor that I was blowing my horn.
14
      Α
15
               Now you drive a motorcycle; correct?
               Yes.
16
      Α
               Do you have a license for that motorcycle?
17
      0
               Permit.
18
      Α
               Do you have a driver's license?
19
      0
               Yes.
20
      Α
               I interrupted you. I apologize. You said you had a
21
      permit for this motorcycle and then you were about to say
22
```

something.

That what does that have to do with anything? 1 Α It's whether or not you are legally on the road. 2 So any of us --3 THE COURT: Okay. Let's move on. 4 Do you want to see the permit? Does anybody need to 5 Α see it? 6 7 THE COURT: No. Just move on. You've answered it. Have you utilized any obscene 8 Q gestures toward Mr. Krlich recently while driving by his home? 9 No, absolutely not. 10 So if he were to testify to the fact that you had, he 11 would either be incorrect or untruthful? 12 Yes. 13 Α Bear with me. 14 THE COURT: I'm going to write on the back 15 with the stickers. I have a photograph here I've marked as 16 Petitioner's Exhibit 1. 17 I'm going to place before you what's been marked, 18 give you a chance to look at it, study it. 19 Yeah, that's my house. Α 20 And how about the vehicle that's parked next to the 21 22 house? Which one? Α 23

1	Q	There's one, looks like it's blue with a white trunk
2	in the h	back, or it has white paint on it?
3	A	Yeah. It's my grandfather's truck.
4	Q	Do you ever drive it?
5	A	Do I ever drive it?
6	Q	Yeah.
7	A	Every once in a while when I'm doing work with it,
8	yeah. I	But not very often.
9	Q	Okay. If you remember, did you ever utilize this
10	truck to	drive by the Krlich property and sound your horn?
11	A	Absolutely not.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	Is it legal to take pictures of
14		THE COURT: I'll give you a chance to give
15	your owr	n independent testimony in a moment.
16		MR. HENKIN: Would you mark this, too, Your
17	Honor?	
18		THE COURT: It doesn't matter what order?
19		MR. HENKIN: No.
20		THE COURT: Two photographs marked
21	Petition	ner's Exhibit 2. And that is a photograph of,
22	apparent	tly it shows two vehicles.
23		And Petitioner's Exhibit 3 will be a

- 1 | photograph showing the back of a vehicle and a license plate.
- 2 And that's Petitioner's Exhibit 3 again.
- 3 Q Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3.
- 4 A Same truck.
- 5 Q Is that the proper license plate for the truck?
- 6 A Yep. It's been that way since 1990.
- 7 Q Okay. This is Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2. Recognize
- 8 | those vehicles?
- 9 A Yeah. That's my dad's Volvo and my sister's van.
- 10 Q Would you have driven either of those cars by the
- 11 | Krlich property?
- 12 A Absolutely not. My dad lives in West Virginia.
- Now, what is it, other than your motorcycle, that you
- drive by the Krlich home, if it's none of the vehicles that
- are depicted in these photographs?
- 16 A You don't have a picture of it?
- 17 Q I'm asking you the question. What is it that you
- 18 drive when you're not using your motorcycle?
- 19 A I ride my motorcycle everywhere.
- 20 Q So you don't have a vehicle?
- 21 A I do.
- 22 Q What is it?
- 23 A It's a Cavalier.

Okay. What's the license plate? 1 Q I don't know. 2 Α Do you have your registration with you? 3 Q Α No. 4 You don't --5 Q Yeah. 6 Α Okay. What color is that Cavalier? Q Α It's red. 8 Using 100 percent as our faculty, out of 100 percent, 9 what's the percentage that you drive your red Cavalier in any 10 one week, any one day? 11 Well, it's getting cold now so I've been driving it 12 Α quite frequently. But during the summertime I'm on my bike. 13 Do you have any idea why Mr. Krlich would be seeking 14 a protective order against you? Did he just pick you out of 15 nowhere? 16 No. A protection order, according to what the Judge 17 said, he said physical harm or mental --18 Or annoyance? Menacing? 19 0 To the point where you have to seek counseling for 20 Α 21 it. Even if he didn't have to seek counseling for it. 22 Q

That's what he just said.

23

Α

Look, you're a witness. 1 Q THE COURT: Just ask questions and give 2 answers, please. It's not an argument. 3 I don't really know how this works. I haven't done 4 this before. 5 THE COURT: Okay. That's why I'm telling 6 7 you. Just answer the questions that are asked. You understand that if an order were to be issued, it 8 would be to prohibit you from sounding your horn or revving 9 your bike around the Krlich property? 10 Now we all understand that if you were driving and 11 there was an emergency that you needed to sound your horn to 12 protect your life or the life of someone else, either on your 13 car or motorcycle, that's exempt. But short of that, that a 14 protective order would prohibit you from it in normal 15 circumstances? That's the extent of what we would be 16 1.7 requesting; do you understand that? Yeah. But is there, is there Ohio code or law on 18 when you can use your horn and when you can't? 19 I just explained it to you. But I'll restate it. 20 Other than for emergency purposes that you are --21 Do you have that in writing? 22 Α Avoid --23

THE COURT: Hang on. It's not an argument 1 over the law. I'll decide what the law says. 2 My question is, you understand the reason to seek an 3 order? Yes. 5 Α To control your behavior? 6 0 7 Α Yes. And nothing more than that. That's it. Nothing more 8 than that. We just want to be able to control your behavior. 9 That we don't have to deal with any more alleged overuse of a 10 horn or revving of a motorcycle. That's exactly what we're 11 seeking. 12 So you want me to shut my bike off when I go past his 13 house? 14 No, sir. What I want you to do, if the Court sees 15 fit to issue an order, would be not to rev, not to sound horns 16 from your car or motorcycle unless it's an emergency 17 circumstance. That's what we would be asking. 18 19 My motorcycle is loud and it does meet the law on decibels. And as long as I'm going the speed limit, I can 20 have it at whatever rpm range I want. 21 22 Okay. Well, I think the best way for me to conclude the questioning of this young man is just to say I'm not going 23

1	to argue with you. Your position is obvious.
2	MR. HENKIN: No further questions at this
3	time, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Let me get down to brass
5	tacks here. Okay. I have a few questions, if you don't mind.
6	You acknowledged to a couple different
7	people already in your own testimony here that you have beeped
8	your horn going by his home; right?
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Why are you doing it?
11	THE WITNESS: I was beeping at my buddy and
12	my buddy lives
13	THE COURT: Look at me for a minute. Every
14	time? Or were some of these times because there seems to
15	be this thing to beep when you go past the Krliches house?
16	THE WITNESS: The first time, yes.
17	THE COURT: You only did it one time?
18	You're being straight with me?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: One time you beeped at his
21	house?
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Because I was told to.
23	THE COURT: By who?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember. It was 1 just --2 THE COURT: What do you mean you don't 3 remember? Who told you? I'm trying to be real, I'm trying to 4 be real straight with you. I want you to be real straight 5 with me. Okay. I'm not messing around. 6 What's the situation? Why is this 7 happening? Because frankly, I'm not sure I, I, you know, the 8 one time thing is flying with me here. I want you to be 9 honest with me. You're in court. You held up your hand, 10 right? 11 THE WITNESS: Right. 12 THE COURT: I want the honest truth, what's 13 going on here? How many times has it happened and why are you 14 doing it? You have a chance to be frank with me here. I 15 would appreciate you doing so. I'm not messing around. 16 THE WITNESS: The first time, yes, I 17 thought it was funny. 18 THE COURT: Was this just people you know 19 that think this is a funny thing to do because it annoys him? 20 THE WITNESS: Pretty much. I'd say at 21 least 50 percent of the kids in Hubbard ranging from ages 16 22 to 25, everybody knows about it. Everybody does it. Even 23

grownups do, even adults do it. 1 THE COURT: Why? Why is it funny? I'm 2 just curious. Who, who gave you the notion that, hey, I mean, 3 you know, how did this come -- what friends of yours told you 4 to do that? 5 THE WITNESS: I just heard a rumor down at 6 7 the bar one night. THE COURT: So it's just become the thing 8 to do? 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. But I --10 THE COURT: -- because apparently --11 THE WITNESS: I quit doing it a long time 12 13 ago. 14 THE COURT: And the reason it's funny is 15 because it apparently annoys Mr. Krlich? Or is there something else that I don't understand here? 16 THE WITNESS: I guess you could say that. 17 But I quit doing it a long time ago. 18 THE COURT: And Mr. Krlich is -- you see 19 he's got a bunch of photographs and he's got a computer there. 20 There may be videos. There's going to be all this kind of 21 stuff. And if I see a bunch of that and I see your 22 23 motorcycle. I see a vehicle going by there, going by that

residence and it's beeping. I'm supposed to still believe what you're telling me now? Are you going to stick with that one time deal? Because I'm giving you the chance to be up front with me. Because I'm just telling you, I sat around in court for a long time. I don't like situations when somebody comes in -- I mean, people do things in life that they're not always, you know, want to just acknowledge up front. But when they're in court I expect honesty. And one thing that will not do a person well, when they come into court, between, before me or before anyone else, is when they come up and they try and sort of hedge and minimize their conduct and tell me, you know, something less than the entire truth, and then I end up hearing something else that shows me that maybe this person wasn't being so straight. Because that makes me wonder what to believe with this person. So I'm trying to be as honest as I can with you. I want a straight answer. Really, one time? You haven't done it more than one time?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: I beeped my horn several times, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you revved your engine probably unnecessarily? I understand you're saying you're on a public road. I appreciate what you're saying about that. But I'm quessing there's been occasions when it's

1	part of the whole gimmick, you made a little more noise than
2	you needed to make; would that be accurate?
3	THE WITNESS: On my motorcycle I can't
4	THE COURT: Or in your car? I don't care
5	what vehicle it's in. Do you understand what I'm saying?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. But it's been a long
7	time since I did it.
8	THE COURT: How long has it been since you
9	did it?
10	THE WITNESS: At least two months.
11	THE COURT: Were you doing it fairly
12	frequently for a period of time? Whenever you'd beep going by
13	there pretty much?
14	THE WITNESS: Not every time, no.
15	THE COURT: A lot of times?
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I probably did it
17	three or four times.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What made you stop?
19	THE WITNESS: Pretty much all this.
20	THE COURT: Hearing about the stuff getting
21	to court? You don't want to get yourself in trouble?
22	THE WITNESS: And I didn't want to be
23	followed on my motorcycle anymore because it's dangerous.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Krlich followed you in your
2	motorcycle?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. In speeds of excess, of
4	excess of 85 miles an hour on a back road about this far from
5	my
6	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you spoke with a
7	Hubbard police officer about
8	THE WITNESS: I have the police report
9	right there.
10	THE COURT: In your earlier testimony you
11	said you had some kind of conversation with a Hubbard police
12	officer where you did admit that you had done it; correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And you acknowledged
15	similar to what you told me?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Right now? And you told the
18	mayor the same thing pretty much?
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Did either of them tell you cut
21	it out? Did anybody ever tell you to cut it out, or is this
22	just a whole thing in Hubbard that the police and the mayor
23	are in on it saying knock yourself out?

1 THE WITNESS: I don't remember th	hem saying
anything about it. They just	
THE COURT: Well, it came up in	
4 conversation for some reason?	
5 THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm guessing	, maybe I'm
being too complimentary here, but I'm guessing that	in the
8 conversation with the police officer in which you ad	dmitted
9 doing it, it wasn't something that he was egging you	ı on to
continue doing or approving of? Or was he?	
THE WITNESS: No. He just said to	that the
police department is getting sick of it.	
13 THE COURT: Of having to deal wit	th the
issue?	
15 THE WITNESS: Right.	
15 TIE WITHESS. Right.	
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All r	ight.
	_
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All r	_
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. Okay. I don't think I have any other questions. Do	o you have
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right Okay. I don't think I have any other questions. Do any further questions in light of what I asked?	o you have
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right Okay. I don't think I have any other questions. Do any further questions in light of what I asked?  MR. HENKIN: I just have one, with	o you have
THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right Okay. I don't think I have any other questions. Do any further questions in light of what I asked?  MR. HENKIN: I just have one, with Court's indulgence.	o you have th the

## BY MR. HENKIN: 1 We're not here to hurt you. We're not trying to 2 create a record against you. 3 He tried to hurt me. 4 Q You're a witness. We'll ask you to respond. 5 6 THE COURT: I just want you to ask 7 questions. Do you have other questions? You started to answer and then you hedged when asked 8 by the Court, why did you do it. And you said, "I was told 9 to." And then I believe the Court said who and you got a 10 little amnesia. Your memory wasn't real good. 11 Now I'm going to ask you, who told you to behave in 12 this manner? It's important that we know. 13 THE COURT: If you know, you should answer. 14 15 A I honestly don't remember. MR. HENKIN: I have no further questions. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused. 17 You can return to your seat. If you have some additional 18 testimony, I'll give you an opportunity a little later to give 19 it. 20 MR. HENKIN: I'm going to make a suggestion 21 22 to the Court in the earshot of Mr. Wilson. Mr. Wilson has,

and I believe truthfully, Your Honor, admitted to his behavior

to this Court. And he acknowledged to the police department and to the mayor.

I would think that we don't really need to go much further, if Mr. Wilson will be agreeable we can just cut to the chase and request the Court consider a CPO and restrict it, that we take off the language that we reference as we've done in the past, anything negative to one's behavior other than this.

THE COURT: Well, that, I mean, that requires Mr. Wilson's consent. I mean if Mr. Wilson is willing to consent to the issuance of a protection order, you can always consent to the issuance of a protection order. If you wish to challenge it he has the right to challenge it. I don't encourage or discourage anybody to make that choice. But it is an option you have available to you.

Just so you understand, the effect of a protection order, if one would consent to the issuance of a protection order against a person, it's not a criminal case. It's not on anybody's criminal record. It is a document that does get sent to 9-1-1. It gets entered into a computer system so it's available to police departments wherever and sent to local police departments. It remains in their files. If there's never any reason for it to be enforced, it sits

there for a period of time and then expires. And there is 1 some latitude as to what period of time would be involved. 2 You know, so, so, but, if in fact it were 3 violated it can lead to a consequence that you run risk of being charged with a misdemeanor crime of violating a 5 protection order. Again, I'm not encouraging or discouraging 6 7 I'm just trying to give you all the information about what's available. But I think what's been suggested by 8 counsel is the possibility -- I guess he wants to know if you 9 are, have any interest in consenting to the issuance of a 10 protection order? 11 MR. HENKIN: I will rephrase it. The 12 answer is no? 13 THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't know what 14 any of that means. 15 MR. HENKIN: All right. If the Court 16 pleases. 17 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. He's 18 answered the question. So we don't have a consent. I have to 19 go forward with the rest of the case. And that's fine. 20 Ι 21 don't have a problem with it. I was just going to maybe ask 22 MR. HENKIN:

the Court for a moment, a two-minute recess without trying to

do anything other than maybe --

THE COURT: Well, I guess, I guess the most I'll say is, is, you know, I mean if you want him to ask me -- you said you didn't understand it. I thought I was trying to make it clear. What part of it didn't you understand?

THE WITNESS: From the beginning.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll try and go through it one more time. Just, I mean I don't know if it's something you're interested in, but I want to make you aware.

When a person has a petition for a protection order filed against them I'm required to set it for a hearing and conduct a hearing like I'm doing today. That person against whom, you, the person against whom the protection order is being requested, you have the right to have this hearing conducted and for me then to have to make a decision as to whether they've met their burden of proving that they're entitled to the protection order, or whether they haven't. And I'm either going to grant the protection order or I'm going to dismiss the case.

You also have the opportunity, and it's your, your choice, your entire -- it's entirely up to you. You are permitted to do what's called waive your right to a hearing, not require us to conclude or even conduct or now

that we're into it, conclude the hearing. You'd have the right to waive that, forgo the requirement that we continue the hearing and agree that a protection order may be issued against you. And people do that on occasion. I don't encourage or discourage it one way or another. It's an option that's available to people.

If you would do so there may be some latitude in terms of what would be included in the protection order and the length of the protection order. I don't know. That's something that's subject to agreement of the parties. You know, but, but if you don't want to agree to the issuance of the protection order you're certainly entitled, and we continue the hearing. And at the end of that hearing -- I'll listen to the rest of their evidence, any evidence you want to produce and I'll make the decision as to whether the standard has been met for the issuance of a protection order. And I'm going to make my decision. Either I'm going to grant the protection order -- I'll put in whatever terms I feel are appropriate -- or I'll not grant the protection order and I'll dismiss the case.

So what's been suggested by Attorney

Henkin, you correct me if I'm wrong on any of this, but what

seems to have been suggested is that based on discussions

taking place, he was asking whether you had an interest in 1 waiving your right to the remainder of the hearing, consenting to the issuance of a protection order. And I believe what he was suggesting is that the specific term that would be 4 included in that protection order would be one that would 5 6 simply state that you're not, unless it's an emergency situation, to, to be utilizing the horn or unduly -- I mean, 7 it's a noise issue. The language is, I think would be, you 8 know, making any -- I don't know how that gets termed exactly, 9 but something to that effect. And that would be the single 10 term in that. I don't know if you would be wanting to request 11 it for a five-year period or some lesser period. That's not 12 something that's been addressed. But that's something that 13 sometimes is negotiable as well. But I don't know if you have 14 15 an interest in that. 16

If you don't have an interest in it, I'm fine going forward and doing the rest of the hearing. But if you do, it's been suggested by counsel that that's what he would like to know if you have an interest in that.

THE WITNESS: I don't think it's a good idea.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HENKIN: That's fine.

22

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Mr. Krlich. 1 WHEREUPON, 2 GARRICK KRLICH, 3 being first duly sworn, according to law, was examined and 4 testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HENKIN: 7 State your name and residential address for the 8 record, please. 9 Garrick Krlich, 713 East Liberty Street, Hubbard, 10 Ohio. 11 Mr. Krlich, you in fact requested the Court issue a 12 protective order against Josh Wilson; is that correct, sir? 13 Yes, correct. 14 What is your goal in requesting the Court to 15 intervene on your behalf? 16 To get Josh Wilson to quit giving me the finger. 17 Quit blowing his horn in any vehicle that he drives. Quit 18 revving his motorcycle engine in the middle of the night, 19 either on 304 or on Creed, so I have peace and quiet. 20 You've heard Mr. Wilson testify in reference to the 21 Court's inquiries that in fact he had repeatedly sounded his 22 horn and revved the motorcycle? 23

- Right. 1 A So really you don't dispute his own testimony, do 2 Q 3 you? Probably just the number of times maybe. But, no. Α 4 Now, did you know who Josh Wilson was prior to the 5 Q circumstance that you're here complaining about? 6 7 This has been going on for like three years. I didn't know him three years ago. And I really just met him 8 when I caught him blowing the horn one time. 9 Was it early in the morning? 10 The time that I caught him? 11 Α Right. 12 0 It was in the afternoon. After, after many, 13 14
  - No. It was in the afternoon. After, after many, many times finally I was able to see who he was. And he went by the house blasting the horn so I followed him. He stopped on the side street, took his license plate off and then continued to try and get away from me.
  - Q You really have no personal vendetta against Josh Wilson, do you?
  - A No.

15

16

17

18

19

- 21 Q It's not your intention to see him locked up?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Or financially penalized?

Correct. 1 Α You just want what? 2 Peace and quiet at the property. 3 And up to now you haven't been able to bring that 4 about; am I correct? 5 Correct. It's like he said, half the people in 6 Hubbard are doing it. 7 Now you've gone to the Hubbard Police Department in 8 reference to Josh Wilson, have you not? 9 Yes. Α 10 And you've spoken to the mayor of Hubbard in 11 reference to Josh Wilson, have you not? 12 Α Yes. 13 In both cases, the same request? 14 15 Α Correct. Peace and quiet? 16 Right. 17 А And but for the fact you haven't been able to 18 accomplish it, you wouldn't even be here? 19 Absolutely. 20 Α Your request of the Court is that, make control of 21 his behavior at least for some period of time so that 22 annoyance stops? 23

```
1
               Yes.
      Α
               And you want nothing more than that; is that correct?
 2
 3
               Correct.
      A
               Has some of that behavior taken place at the early
 4
      Q
      hours of the morning?
 5
      Α
               Yes.
 6
               About how early?
 7
      Q
               1:30, quarter to 2:00 in the morning.
 8
      A
               Now, you're not the only resident in that home;
 9
      0
      correct?
10
               Correct.
      Α
11
               And the other party living in that home is?
12
      Q
               My wife.
13
      Α
               Now, those hours of the day, which would be early
14
15
      morning, normally you would be sleeping?
               Correct.
16
      Α
               So would it be your testimony that you, your wife, or
17
      both of you are being awakened?
18
               Absolutely.
19
      Α
               Now how at night can you tell that Josh Wilson, the
20
      person here in this courtroom, is in fact creating this
21
      disturbance?
22
               I have audio and video of him on his bike.
23
```

Can you see from the windows which exist in a 1 bedroom -- I'm going to presume there's windows in your 2 bedroom? 3 Α Correct. 4 And is the bedroom on the second floor? 5 Q Yes. 6 Α Is there a light on the street that you can --7 The street is well lit on both, both 304 And Yes. Creed Street. When awakened, were you able to see from your window, 10 just you visually, no electronic equipment, who or what was 11 going on? 12 Yes, I can. I can see it was Josh Wilson on his 13 bike. 14 15 So we don't take a lot of your time or the Court, of the electronic equipment that you have, would it just be tapes 16 or CDs that would correlate or coincide to your testimony? 17 Correct. 18 Α Do you have just one that we can take a quick look 19 0 at? 20 Sure. 21 Α That's all I would ask of this witness. And as an 22 0 23 explanation, can you tell us what time of the day this

1 occurred? Was it at night? Yeah, this was, this was in the middle of the night, 2 about a quarter to 2:00 in the morning. This, this is just an 3 example of what's been going on many, many times. And until I 4 got my audio and video, this harassment was ongoing for two 5 years basically nonstop. 6 7 THE COURT: Tell me when it's ready to be viewed. 8 MR. WILSON: Do you think I can step 9 outside and call my boss, tell him I'm going to be a little 10 11 late? 12 MR. HENKIN: I have no problem. THE COURT: Yeah. Don't play it until he's 13 back. Can you give me some identifying information, what I'm 14 going to be seeing here? 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. This is Josh Wilson on 16 his motorcycle. 17 THE COURT: Do we have a date and time? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8/24, '09, 1:34 in the 19 morning. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: This was just one of the 23 incidents.

```
THE COURT: Let me just see what you have.
 1
      That road is what road?
                         THE WITNESS: State Route 304.
 3
               Just a couple more questions.
      Q
                         THE COURT: Are you going to submit that CD
 5
 6
      or DVD?
                         MR. HENKIN: Yeah.
 7
                         THE COURT: The DVD, when you get it out,
 8
      will be marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 4, I believe.
 9
               Just a couple questions in reference to that and
10
      we'll conclude. As the bike is coming down the road, was it
11
      getting closer to your home?
12
               Yes.
13
               Is that what that's depicting?
14
15
               Yes.
               All right. We heard what sounded like an engine.
                                                                   Is
16
      that what you're stating?
17
               Correct. The racing motorcycle engine.
18
      Α
               And I heard a beep. What was the beep?
19
      Q
               That was his motorcycle horn.
20
      Α
               This particular CD and what we just saw, all this,
21
      there was no other traffic on the road, was there?
22
               Correct. And in the audio and video it showed
      Α
23
```

that -- there was time before and time after -- there's no one 1 on the road. 2 So there would have been no reason to be revving an 3 engine or, more importantly, sounding a horn? 4 5 Α Correct. And this in fact is the basis of your complaint, that 6 there's no reason to be doing this? 7 Absolutely. Α 8 Now this one is marked 1:34 in the morning. 9 Yes. 10 Okay. Were others, that we don't have labeled 11 because we would be here for hours, would other incidents be 12 even earlier, 2:00, 3:00, 4:00 in the morning? 13 There's some 12:00, quarter to 1:00s and there's some 14 3:00 o'clock in the morning. 15 MR. HENKIN: No further questions, Your 16 Honor. No further questions of this witness. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, do you have 18 questions for the witness? 19 MR. WILSON: No. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Krlich, just a few 21 questions from me. How many different recordings, either 22 audio or video, of Mr. Wilson, how many occasions have you 23

1	captured in some way?
2	THE WITNESS: I think there's seven there.
3	THE COURT: And they range in what time
4	frame? This was August. Give me an idea from when to when,
5	if you can.
6	THE WITNESS: I'll have to look.
7	THE COURT: Can you estimate for me?
8	THE WITNESS: From '09 until, until just a
9	month ago with him giving me the finger.
10	THE COURT: Was that the first time that
11	ever happened, him giving you the finger?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MR. WILSON: I just waved at you.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You can't speak up like
15	that. I'll give you an opportunity if you want to ask other
16	questions. Is it possible he just waved at you?
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	THE COURT: You really could see it that
19	clearly?
20	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
21	THE COURT: Is it visible on video or
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. One instance
23	THE COURT: That specific, that I could

1	tell he was giving you the finger?
2	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. In fact, we have
3	a photo.
4	THE COURT: You say you have a photograph?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: You believe it will depict it
7	clearly enough that I will be able to identify it?
8	THE WITNESS: If that doesn't, the disc
9	will. Next one. Right there, yes. It's pretty clear there,
10	but it's really clear on the disc.
11	THE COURT: I can see it. I'll mark this
12	as Petitioner's Exhibit 5. I don't need the disc. And you
13	haven't formally identified that?
14	MR. HENKIN: This has been marked
15	Petitioner's Exhibit No. 5. Would you identify the date and
16	the time?
17	THE WITNESS: July 11th, 2010 at 12:01 p.m.
18	in the afternoon.
19	MR. HENKIN: And this particular photograph
20	depicts what?
21	THE WITNESS: Josh Wilson on his motorcycle
22	putting both hands up and giving me the finger.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I have a few more

1 questions. Had you sought any kind of counseling as a result of this activity? 2 THE WITNESS: I, I've been to the doctor 3 but I haven't --4 THE COURT: Can you tell me in what way 5 this has caused you mental distress? 6 THE WITNESS: Keeping me up late at night 7 all the time. And every, every time I -- I'm on my deck, 8 they're blowing the horn. He's blowing the horn. I'm in the 9 house, the horns are being blowed. It's, it's been nonstop. 10 11 And it's, it's --12 THE COURT: Has this caused a change in your life-style? 13 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 14 THE COURT: In what way? 15 THE WITNESS: I have to be at my, my audio 16 and video all the time recording his incidents just so I can 17 present this stuff to you. It's been nonstop. It's cost me a 18 lot of money. And it's cost me a lot of time, a lot of time. 19 20 And I am losing sleep at night over it. And I'm losing -it's cost me work time because when he does it in the middle 21 22 of the night it keeps me up the whole night. 23 THE COURT: I think you've indicated

yourself, Mr. Wilson is not the only person that's done this; 1 correct? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 THE COURT: You at least believe you have 4 approximately seven occasions, though, you've captured on, 5 evidence of him doing it? Are there other occasions beyond 6 that? Or have you pretty much got everything on video since 7 you have the equipment? 8 THE WITNESS: There were, there were times 9 before, way more times before I had the video equipment. 10 That's why I had to get the video equipment. 11 THE COURT: Now in the last month you 12 haven't seen anything like that? This was filed in July, your 13 petition. But you do have this happening --14 THE WITNESS: There is incidents on Creed 15 before I had this camera. I had to put this camera up because 16 of him. And there's been incidents on Creed, the side street 17 next to my house, that's he's been doing it. Just like he was 18 doing it before I had the camera. Now I had to put another 19 camera out just to try and stop him. 20 THE COURT: I believe your tape was 21 August -- that was '09, though, correct? The DVD was from 22 23 '09; correct?

```
THE WITNESS: Yes. That's one of the
 1
      incidents, yes.
 2
                         THE COURT: After this -- this was
 3
      July 11th, the photograph, Petitioner's Exhibit 5. If you
 4
      know, have there been any taped incidences since that day?
 5
                         THE WITNESS: Since this day?
 6
                         THE COURT: Since that date?
 7
                         THE WITNESS:
                                       No.
 8
                         THE COURT: Okay. I don't have any other
 9
      questions. Anything further?
10
                         MR. HENKIN: Just very quickly, two.
11
      BY MR. HENKIN:
12
               Disturbing of your peace and quiet and sleep, it also
13
      affects your wife, does it not?
14
               Oh, absolutely.
15
      Α
               And she's employed?
16
                     She works, she's, she's awaken in the middle of
17
      the night and she can't get to sleep.
18
               And it causes stress in your home life?
19
      Q
               Absolutely.
20
      Α
               Because people are exhausted?
21
               This has been consuming our life for the last three
22
      Α
23
      years.
```

1	MR. HENKIN: No further questions, Your
2	Honor,
3	THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, any questions for
4	the witness?
5	MR. WILSON: No.
6	THE COURT: You're excused, Mr. Krlich.
7	Anything further from the Petitioner?
8	MR. HENKIN: No. The admissions.
9	THE COURT: You've offered them. And like
10	I said, I'm going to need that disc. That's going to be
11	Petitioner's 4. Those are the other photographs? Well, I'm
12	only going to take the disc.
13	Would that conclude the presentation of the
14	Petitioner's case?
15	MR. HENKIN: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: You move for the admission of
17	your exhibits; correct?
18	MR. HENKIN: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Any objection to any of these
20	exhibits coming into evidence? They will be admitted without
21	objection. With that, that would conclude the presentation of
22	the petitioner's case.
23	Mr. Wilson, it's your opportunity to go

1	forward yourself. Did you wish to testify further offering
2	additional evidence to the Court?
3	MR. WILSON: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Return to the stand, if you
5	would. I will remind you that you remain under oath.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	JOSHUA WILSON,
8	being previously duly sworn, according to law, further
9	testified as follows:
10	EXAMINATION
11	THE COURT: You're submitting an exhibit
12	here?
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Have a seat. I need to get you
15	on the record. Again, this is Josh Wilson. This is his own
16	case, his testimony. He's retaking the stand here.
17	You've handed me a document. It appears to
18	be a Hubbard Police Department Ohio Uniformed Incident Report,
19	something that was reported 8/29 of '09. It consists of
20	numerous pages. This is all one, one single report to your
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: understanding, sir?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Includes some voluntary 1 statements from some different individuals. Why don't you 2 tell me what happened on that day? 3 THE WITNESS: On that day? 4 THE COURT: Wait a minute. I'm sorry. 5 Before you go on, I'm going to mark this as Respondent's 6 Exhibit A. 7 THE WITNESS: That was the first time 8 and --9 THE COURT: First time what? 10 THE WITNESS: First time that I beeped my 11 horn. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: And it was an afternoon. 14 15 don't remember what day it was. But I was heading towards Hubbard on 304. I beeped my horn. And I turned left onto Oak 16 Knoll. I noticed that there was a big, there was a one-ton 17 Ford following me. And then I seen the person that was 18 driving. He was yelling and saying swear words and saying, 19 "You better pull over" and all this other stuff. And he kept 20 chasing me. Chased me all the way into Pennsylvania in speeds 21

of excess to 85 miles an hour down back roads. Two witnesses

seen how close he was. I could have very easily been hurt or

22

23

killed. 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 THE WITNESS: And --3 THE COURT: Who was the person doing this? 4 THE WITNESS: That was following me? 5 THE COURT: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: It was Rick. 7 Okay. How do you know that? THE COURT: 8 THE WITNESS: Because he -- I ended up 9 pulling over when I decided that it was too dangerous to keep 10 going. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Where was this at that 12 you pulled over? 13 THE WITNESS: I -- it's in there. I don't 14 15 remember. It was a back road down in West Middlesex. It was a farm town. 16 THE COURT: And what happened when you 17 pulled over? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, I hit some gravel on my 19 motorcycle at the end of a farmer's driveway and my bike went 20 down. And I was able to stay on my feet. I was going about 21 five miles an hour. And I picked it back up and went up to 22

the house because I didn't know this guy, I didn't know what

23

1	he was trying to do to me. Who he was. And I was, I was
2	pretty
3	THE COURT: You had no idea who he was?
4	THE WITNESS: I had no idea who it was.
5	THE COURT: Well you beeped by his house;
6	right?
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: And that's when the person
9	started following you?
10	THE WITNESS: Well, it was about a half
11	mile down the road before I noticed the vehicle following me.
12	THE COURT: But you knew the situation
13	going in, why you were beeping? You didn't did you suspect
14	that that might be who that is?
15	THE WITNESS: No. At first I had no idea
16	until afterwards.
17	THE COURT: All right. So when he you
18	pull over. What happens?
19	THE WITNESS: I went up to the farmer's
20	house, ran up to the door, knocked on the door and I asked for
21	help, because I didn't know what this guy wanted to do to me.
22	You know what I mean?
23	THE COURT: Okay.

1	THE WITNESS: And me and the farmer walked
2	down to Rick. And then he was standing there down by his
3	truck. And we talked. I gave him my driver's license and
4	then he left. Then I left. It cost me about \$800 to fix my
5	bike, the pipes and the turn signals.
6	THE COURT: How did it get damaged?
7	THE WITNESS: I, I laid it down in the
8	gravel.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: And there are skid marks,
11	too, on the road. And the Southwest Regional police officer
12	has pictures of my bike, the damage done to it. And he also
13	has pictures of the skid mark on the road.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So they took a report
15	about it as well, Southwest Regional Sheriff's Office?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Did they take any
18	action on that?
19	THE WITNESS: I never filed charges.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And they didn't file any
21	charges; correct?
22	THE WITNESS: No. I was supposed to
23	receive a phone call from the Hubbard police officer the next

1	day because I didn't know where I had to go next or what I had
2	to do next. And he never got back with me. And I was busy
3	with work. I'm very busy with work. I'm out of town all the
4	time. So I just figured it was easier just to let it go.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So you let that go. But
6	then, were there other occasions after that that you did the
7	same thing when you went by Mr. Krlich's house?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. And he followed me.
9	And he came to my house, took pictures. He drives past my
10	house all the time.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I guess I still want to
14	ask, when that happened, okay, he followed you and
15	THE WITNESS: It was more
16	THE COURT: The impression I'm getting is
17	that that unsettled you were upset by that?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes. I still am. Because I
19	had to spend that money to fix my bike.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So then, but then you,
21	still knowing all that, you still did the beeping thing going
22	by his house?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. I had a hard time

```
letting that go, you know what I mean?
 1
                          THE COURT:
                                      Okay.
 2
                          THE WITNESS: He didn't just follow me.
                                                                   Ιt
 3
      was more of a high speed chase. It lasted about six,
 4
      seven miles probably.
 5
                          THE COURT: I don't have any other
 6
      questions. Anything?
 7
                          MR. HENKIN: Just one or two.
 8
                            RECROSS EXAMINATION
 9
      BY MR. HENKIN:
10
               Have any charges been filed against you in the Girard
11
12
      Municipal Court in reference to your alleged behavior
      affecting Mr. Krlich?
13
               No.
14
      A
               You never pled quilty to disorderly conduct?
15
      Q
               Oh, yeah. I thought you meant afterwards.
16
      Α
               Just --
17
      Q
18
      Α
               That was in 2009, yeah.
19
               Wasn't it involving your behavior, the complaint was
      Q
      made to the Court by Mr. Krlich?
20
21
      Α
               Yes.
               And as unnecessary as this question is, this report
22
      that you submitted to the Court, when ultimately you stopped,
23
```

1	whether your bike, you lost control of your bike, whatever,
2	did Mr. Krlich grab you or in any way physically assault you?
3	A No.
4	Q So you could have pulled over at any time?
5	A Yeah. But when he was chasing me, he had his hand
6	out the window and I could hear him screaming at me. You
7	think I would stop with somebody screaming at me?
8	Q Okay. Now, you just admitted that subsequent to this
9	unfortunate circumstance your behavior continued?
10	A Because I was upset about what happened and I just
11	couldn't let that go.
12	Q Okay. So it's still, your behavior continued
13	involving a person that you're showing this Court a document
14	that maybe their behavior wasn't too good; right?
15	A Right.
16	Q But you still, by your own behavior, kept it going?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. HENKIN: No further questions.
19	THE COURT: Asked and answered. The only
20	other question I wanted to ask is, you were charged with
21	disorderly conduct in Girard Municipal Court?
22	THE WITNESS: Is that what it was?
23	THE COURT: I'm asking you.

1	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
2	THE COURT: What happened with that case?
3	THE WITNESS: I just had to pay a fine.
4	THE COURT: So you did enter a plea of like
5	no contest to it?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	THE WITNESS: I think.
9	THE COURT: Now, that happened last year
10	some time?
11	THE WITNESS: 2009 or 2008. I don't
12	remember.
13	THE COURT: So after that happened, after
14	that happened there were still other occasions even after that
15	that you still went by his house and did that?
16	THE WITNESS: Not beeping, no.
17	THE COURT: What did you do?
18	THE WITNESS: Just waving and
19	THE COURT: Flipping him off?
20	THE WITNESS: I don't remember flipping him
21	off, no. I don't think I flipped him off.
22	THE COURT: Have you seen the pictures?
23	THE WITNESS: No.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Krlich's testimony, you
2	were flipping him off at that time?
3	THE WITNESS: There's a fence right here.
4	There's nobody outside.
5	THE COURT: I'm telling you, it's Mr.
6	Krlich's testimony that you flipped him off at that time.
7	That's a picture depicting you on your bike doing that. Are
8	you telling me that's not what that is?
9	THE WITNESS: There was no, there was
10	nobody outside.
11	THE COURT: It doesn't matter if there was
12	anyone outside. I'm telling you what the picture is. Are you
13	telling me that's is that you?
14	THE WITNESS: That's me, yes.
15	THE COURT: What are you doing?
16	THE WITNESS: It looks like I got the
17	middle finger up.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So you told me before
19	that you didn't, but that shows that you did; right?
20	THE WITNESS: I never flipped anybody off
21	in person. There was nobody standing outside. That was a
22	camera.
23	THE COURT: Just generally flipping the

camera off? 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 2 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Okay. 3 That's fine. I don't have anything further. Anything 4 further? 5 MR. HENKIN: Would you mark this, Your 6 7 Honor? THE COURT: He's already acknowledged it. 8 I don't think you need that. 9 MR. HENKIN: If that be the case, I have no 10 further questions of him. 11 THE COURT: Very good. You're excused, 12 sir. Thank you. 13 THE WITNESS: I have a question? 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. THE WITNESS: Is it legal to take pictures 16 of somebody's residence? 17 THE COURT: I'm not generally in a practice 18 to -- I want to make sure Attorney Henkin is -- yes, it is. 19 There's one Exhibit from the Respondent 20 here. Any objection to Exhibit A, the police report. 21 MR. HENKIN: I do, Your Honor. I do 22 because the report never was completed to fruition of any, 23

either civil or criminal action. And it is, it is unilateral.

And its presentation is the testimony that's been taken, at least by report of this young man.

THE COURT: I guess I'll admit this with limitations. I'll admit this police report as a report that was made in conjunction with the testimony that Mr. Wilson gave about that incident. At the same time, all the hearsay that's contained therein, any out of court statements to which there's not witnesses here to give their own testimony will not be considered by the Court. So with that limitation, that's admitted. And you have nothing further, correct, Mr. Wilson?

MR. WILSON: Well --

THE COURT: As far as evidence, I'm asking you. I'll give you an opportunity to make a short statement if you want.

MR. WILSON: No.

THE COURT: No more evidence; correct?

That will conclude the presentation of petitioner's case. Did the parties wish to give brief closing arguments? It's not required, but if you wish to.

MR. HENKIN: Just bear with me for a moment, Your Honor. I was just handed another document here.

I would just ask the Court to take judicial notice, there was another charge against this particular young man pending in Girard Court.

THE COURT: If it's pending it doesn't make a difference.

MR. HENKIN: We have nothing further, Your Honor.

1.8

THE COURT: Very good. Any, any closing remarks?

MR. HENKIN: Just briefly, Your Honor.

CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

MR. HENKIN: I think the young man's own testimony speaks for itself. Even exclusive of videos and audios, except for even the acknowledgement of the disorderly conduct charge, he's admitted he was repetitious. It's been happening quite a period of time. And to add insult to injury, even after the incident that he's complaining about he continued. And we're here because it's only stopped recently, again by his own admission, since the CPO order was filed. And I think that I've presented oral and visual and audio evidence, Your Honor, that would qualify to ask the Court to intervene, put a protective order to stop his behavior. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, do you want to say something further? You're allowed to respond to his. But if you wanted to offer any additional thoughts?

MR. WILSON: I have just a few things.

## CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

MR. WILSON: Like, my father lives in West Virginia and he got a letter in the mail and he didn't have any clue what was going on.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WILSON: And alls I can say is, the reason why I kept doing it is because I was angry because I laid my bike down and I spent money to fix it because he chased me down. And that just stuck with me. So --

THE COURT: Okay. That would conclude the presentation of the evidence before me.

This, this case is ridiculous, I mean, in any number of ways. You know. I mean first let me just get this out of the way. The whole following incident -- I mean, I'm going to give some more thoughts on what I think has been happening with the beeping and everything. But, you know, the following, if it took place, and it doesn't seem to be disputed a whole lot that there was some high speed type chase here. Very poor judgment. I don't care how crazy it gets

you. Bring the law into the picture rather than following people around, especially at a high rate of speed. You know, somebody can get killed. And it's just, it's just a wrong thing to do.

What I'm here about is whether there's evidence that shows that Joshua Wilson engaged in menacing by stalking, that being a pattern of conduct -- there's no evidence of any threat of physical harm -- but pattern of conduct that would cause mental distress here.

I don't know what -- you know, you both testified, about half of Hubbard thinks this is just a fun thing to do. And it's crazy. What's wrong with half of Hubbard? What on earth is wrong with people? You know. I mean I was a kid once. You're a young guy. 21-years old. I mean, I did -- I do loads of stupid things today. But I did even more back then. I mean, I understand what it's like to be young and do something that's a goof and continue doing stuff. But this is so absurd. I mean, this gentleman here, regardless how he's reacted to things, by your own acknowledgement you're aware that there's a whole community that thinks it's just, just a fun thing to do to try and make his life miserable. And that's sick. It's sick. I mean it really, it disturbs me to no end that that's the situation.

I don't know Mr. Krlich personally. And like I said, I got enormous difficulties with some of the reactions here as far as, you know -- I appreciate why you may have been upset about how that whole thing occurred. But, you know, you took part in a thing that apparently is, is some kind of a fad in Hubbard, which I know people in Hubbard and I hope they're not involved with it. But, you know, what's wrong with a group of that many people? I understand it's not literally half of Hubbard, but it's a whole host of people. And it's not just young people that think that this is just a way to act, a way to live your life.

I mean, you know, my, my legal question here, is there a pattern of conduct? Yeah. You were sort of straight with me. You got a little bit straighter with me when I tried to really horn in on you. But you denied the whole flipping off thing and you tried to hedge your bets or be real specific with, oh, it was the camera you flipped off. You know what I'm talking about. I'm not here to screw around. I'm not here to hedge words and try and have you give me the technical answer and dance around the facts here. I'm trying to get down to brass tacks. And I tried to get that across to you, and you gave me a little more information, tried to be a little more honest. But you're still cutting

corners here and there minimizing the things that happened.

I mean, you know, I don't have any question that it's happened a whole host of times, that you've gone, gone by there and, you know, the horn beeping. The, I don't know if you flipped him off more than once but, you know, gunning it just to make sure your presence was known because it's what, what seems to be the thing to do over there.

And you know, what really gets me, you went in Girard Municipal Court, you pled to a disorderly conduct and you're still doing this stuff. I mean maybe you stopped recently when you finally got this stuff. But I mean what the heck does it take? I mean, what does -- I feel like getting a bullhorn and putting it on top of a car and riding through Hubbard and saying hey, idiots, cut it out. I mean, this is stupid, immature, ridiculous, sick, awful behavior. People have lives.

I mean, put yourself for a moment in Mr. Krlich's shoes and live in a house -- I understand people live on busy roads. You're going to hear noise. And everybody wants to give that excuse. You live on a road, you're going to hear noise. That's not what's happening here. I think everybody knows that's not what's happening here.

Put yourself in the shoes of a person who

lives in a house who has to deal with intentional acts of disturbing the peace around his home from an entire community, or a huge, large number of people from a community and, and how that would affect your life.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I mean, and really, I mean if you can have any empathy for a person, if you understand what I'm saying by empathy, put yourself in their shoes and think what that would do to you, if that's what's happening in your life at all hours of the night and you have this happening and you're going to hear it and you know it's happening, and it's become such an issue that now it's all you hear. You know, I mean, could -- is there an overreaction here? For God's sakes I have a guy coming into court that has a video set up that would make the Whitehouse jealous. But this is what it's come to. Would everybody react that way? I don't know. But is it, is it totally out of the realm that, you know, to try and put yourself in this guy's shoes that this would be something that would drive you to a high level of distraction and would cause a whole change in your, you know, your outlook and your life-style and the way you got to run things? At a certain point, yeah, it becomes that. And, you know, I don't get it.

I mean like I said, it's one thing for it to happen a couple times on a prank. But this is after

hearing, you know, and you just kept doing it. I mean, what does it take to get through people's heads? I mean I just, you know, if I went into court, I'm the kind of guy when I was a kid, if I got hauled into court for something that I had such an easy way to control, you know, I mean not, not to go off on a complete tangent but I got picked up on an open container when I was in college. Okay. And I didn't go and put myself in jeopardy of getting another open container out there. And that wasn't even anything that was directed in a mean spirit. And that's what it is. It's a mean spirited, juvenile just nasty thing to do, especially when you know all these circumstances. I'm just out drinking a beer.

But there's a group of people that go out and do this because they know it's just the way to get under some guy's skin. And they just keep, it's like, you know, poking, poking the hornet's nest. You know. And it goes on and on and on. And like I said, if that happens to me and I go in and I plead to a disorderly conduct and then, what does it take to get through a person's head? And you come into court and initially you seemed like sort of aggravated like, oh, boy, this is a real overreaction. Why the heck am I here? And boy, what about him taking pictures of my house? You know what? Time to take a little individual responsibility for

what you did and what your actions are. You could have taken it a long time ago. I don't like to sit here and lecture. Like I said, I was young. I mean, and did a lot of stupid things. But, but boy, you know, I mean, these are odd cases. This is an odd case. For somebody -- if it was, you know, just like the first time you did it and went by, I can't see it happening. But there is a pattern here. And you stopped, but you stopped after you finally got your protection order. You didn't stop after you got your disorderly thing.

So it doesn't give me any hope that, you know, without some kind of legal impediment here that it is going to stop. So my two little questions. Has there been a pattern of conduct? And is it a kind of pattern of conduct that, you know, is or is designed, has or is designed to cause mental distress here?

Now, now Mr. Krlich is not gone to seek counseling. But the law generally says that it's the type that would require a person to go seek counseling. And I'll be quite frank with you. You know, if you think his overreaction is crazy and over the top, that sort of meets the standard. That sort of helps meet the standard. It's driven someone to such a level to take the kind of actions he has had to take that, you know, under normal circumstances shouldn't

have to be taken, and that would drive -- and obviously is, is caused, you know, substantial amount of grief and disruption to, to he and his wive's life here. And, you know, I mean the bottom line is, you know, I -- the bottom line very quickly is I do find that it does meet the standard for a protection order and I am going to issue it. And I'm going to give you the specific terms I'm going to include in it in a moment.

2.0

But the bigger thing is, does anybody have any shame or any sense of decency about this whole thing at some point, and take it upon themselves to say, you know, I -- it's not like you know the guy. You know. I mean you know him in passing. You know the one thing he did to you, but it's not like you have any, it's not like he did something harmful to you to start the whole thing out. He chased you after it but you knew, you knew what was happening at that time.

If you would step back for a minute and think about what you've done over this course of time then first of all, first you should be ashamed. You should be smarter. Okay. It's time to grow up. It's time not to pull this crap. It's time to be a little bit better person than the rest of the people in Hubbard who are pulling this crap.

And secondly, you know, from, from, for a

period of time here you're going to be under a legal restriction that if you want to mess around -- it sounds like you're a hustler. You're out there doing a bunch of different jobs. You got a bunch of stuff going on. Why do you need this grief in your life? I mean, isn't there so many more important things to do in life aside from, like I said, just what common decency says. Live and let live. Don't -- just, I had to say some of those comments because I'm just flabbergasted. I'm flabbergasted that these kind of things have to, have to be dealt with here.

I'm going to recommend the issuance of a protection order because I find it does show that there's been a pattern of conduct in which you knowingly caused him to be, you caused him mental distress here. For that reason there has been menacing by stalking shown. I'm going to put the protection order in effect because I'd like to give you the opportunity to, to shape up. I'm going to put it in effect for one year. One year period of time.

And I'll tell you what. If after one year there's a hint it comes in my court that anything remotely like that's happening, you know, and that you're involved in it, I'll pop it on for the rest of the period of time. But at this point in time I like to think that you indicated that you

stopped doing it some period of time. And that maybe you're going to take a little bit of this to heart and wise up about the whole thing and take a look inside and say, A, is this smart for me to do? And, B, is it right for me to do? I would hope that you would figure out that it's the wrong thing to do, what you've been doing, and you won't do it anymore. For a one year period of time I'm going to put a protection order in effect that says that you don't, you know, you don't go by Mr. Krlich's residence or anywhere in that general vicinity and in any way make any kind of undue or unnecessary noise in any way.

I'll be frank with you, until I get the protection order kicked out here, I'm not sure exactly what language I'm going to include in it. It's going to say something to the effect, certainly no beeping of any, any horn or, I don't know if I'll include revving of the engine. I'm leaning that way. But you know what I'm talking about. I'll try and make it as inclusive as possible.

And believe me, I expect it to be complied with, the spirit of it as well as the letter of it. I don't want to hear something about, you know, some kind of cute little wave, oh, I flipped off the camera, I didn't flip off him. You know, that's kid stuff. And courts aren't here to

deal with kid stuff.

I'll issue the protection order. I'll have the paperwork out in the next couple of days here. Most likely it will be out of my office tomorrow down to the clerk. It will come to you guys in the mail in the next few days here.

Between now and then, you'd certainly be well-advised to act as if the thing is currently in effect and conduct yourself accordingly. If you do otherwise, you'll run the risk of further legal problems.

I am a magistrate. I'm not a judge. Any decision I make, you're entitled to file a challenge to. It's called an Objection to the Decision of the Magistrate. The way you're going to have to go about doing that is, once you get my decision it will have a time stamp on it. You will have 14 days from the date of that time stamp to file a written objection to the decision of the magistrate. You have to state in writing the reasons why you believe my decision was wrong. You would then have to also perhaps, depending on the judge, perhaps obtain a copy of the transcript of the testimony that's been given here. If you do so, you need to contact the Court's court reporter to make arrangements to purchase that. It would then go to Judge McKay. If you do

file the objection you're required to send a copy to Mr. Krlich and his attorney. It would go -- then you would have the opportunity to file your own written response. It would go to Judge McKay who can then determine what course of action he wishes to take about the case from that point forward. He may want to hear additional evidence. He may want to ask for additional materials. That's his choice at that time.

If you don't file the objection it will simply be an order that at this point in time would remain in place for a one-year period and would expire on its own at the end of that one year. Understand the consequence of violating a protection order, you can run the risk of being arrested and charged with a misdemeanor crime of violating a protection order. If you want to spend your time going back to court, you'll have that opportunity.

But I hope I've made myself abundantly clear here. That's going to be my ruling. You're welcome to challenge it. That's certainly your legal right. But as far as I'm concerned, I certainly hope that regardless of anything that happens from this point forward, you take to heart the kind of things I've been telling you here today and you decide to maybe conduct yourself in a better way going forward here.

1	14 days from the date that's going to be time stamped on the
2	paperwork you get from me when I send it back.
3	And, again, you have to send a copy of that
4	to Mr. Krlich and his attorney.
5	MR. WILSON: How do I get their address?
6	THE COURT: It should be part of the case
7	file. It should be. You received the documents
8	MR. WILSON: It just had the name on there.
9	THE COURT: You got a copy of the petition
10	as well. I'll get a copy of this for you. I'll get you
11	something that has both of their addresses on it. When we
12	leave here, if you have no objection I'll have those copies
13	made in the assignment office. Any other questions at this
14	point?
15	MR. WILSON: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I don't have
17	anything further. We are in recess.
18	* * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify the foregoing represents a true and accurate transcript of the official audiotape recording of the proceedings had in the aforementioned case.

Richelle J. Guerrieri Official Court Reporter

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify the foregoing represents a true and